



Future Land Use Subcommittee

Meeting Summary

January 12, 2010 • 6:30 p.m.

The Ohio State University Endeavor Center
1862 Shyville Road, Piketon, OH 45661

Subcommittee Members Present: Shirley Bandy, Frank Halstead, Michael Lilly, Sharon Manson, Michael Payton, and Cristy Renner

Board Members Present: Ed Charle, Val Francis, Bobby Graff, Dan Minter, Daniel Moore, Larry Parker, and Dick Snyder

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Representatives: Joel Bradburne and Greg Simonton

DOE Employees and Contractors: Melda Rafferty and Kristi Wiehle, DOE; Sandy Childers, Bill Franz, and Jeff Pinkerton, LATA/Parallax (LPP); Janie Crowwait, Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI)

Liaisons: Michael Rubadue, Ohio Dept of Health; Maria Galanti and Melody Stewart, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA)

Support Staff: Julie Galloway, Brandy Moore, and Eric Roberts, EHI

Public: Lee Blackburn, Jackson, Ohio; Steve Carter, Scioto County Economic Development Director; Vina Colley, Portsmouth/Piketon Residents for Environmental and Safety (P.R.E.S.S); and Geoffrey Sea, Southern Ohio Neighbors Group (SONG)

Sharon Manson, Subcommittee Chair, opened the Future Land Use meeting.

1. Agenda

- **Manson** reviewed the Agenda
 - **Approved as presented**

2. Code of Conduct

- **Payton** motioned to accept the Code of Conduct: Meeting Ground Rules, **Motion seconded.**
 - **Motion carried**

3. Review of November Summary

- **Renner** motioned to accept the Summary, **Motion seconded.**
 - **Motion carried**

4. Language for Energy Parks Initiative

- **Manson** asked Dan Minter to explain this recommendation and the difference between Option 1 and Option 2.

- **Minter** stated that he wordsmithed the two options for the recommendation and that the Future Land Use Subcommittee discussed this recommendation several times last year.
- **Roberts** suggested that the Subcommittee move forward and use this as starting point.

At this time, Lee Blackburn and Geoffrey Sea asked to be recognized to make public comments on the language for the Energy Parks Initiative. **Manson** agreed.

- **Lee Blackburn, Jackson, Ohio** stated that he feels the Board should pursue Option 2 because DOE has not yet formed the Energy Parks Initiative policy.
- **Geoffrey Sea, SONG** stated that he strongly urges the Board not to adopt any language at this time.
- **Manson** asked if there are any further discussions from the Subcommittee.

Question/Comment:	Answer:
Francis asked Larry Parker and Lee Blackburn if they could recap what they learned at the Energy Parks Initiative Workshop in Oak Ridge.	Parker stated that he felt the Board should adopt something and that it does not have to get specific. I prepared a report from the workshop in Oak Ridge; the Subcommittee could go back and review the information. Blackburn Yes, but I asked for a presentation at Piketon like Oak Ridge and Paducah to help the public have a better understanding of Energy Parks.
Francis Do the words Energy Park Initiative need to be in the recommendation?	Minter No, they do not have to be in the recommendation.

- **Bandy** stated that Option 2 would be a better choice since it allows the Board to move forward but clarifies the EM Scope.
- **Payton** motioned to recommend Option 2 with the background added and emailed out to the Subcommittee when drafted, **Motion seconded**.
 - **Motion carried**

5. End Use Study

- **Manson** asked for a discussion on the End Use Study Recommendation.
- **Renner** stated that this recommendation will help the community get involved and state whether they would prefer wind, solar or nuclear power. Similar recommendations have been passed at other sites.
- **Melody Stewart, Ohio EPA** stated that there are no institutional restrictions as stated in the background of this End Use Recommendation.
- **Bradburne** stated that the no institutional restrictions sentence should be clarified.
- **Renner** motioned to correct the changes to the no institutional restrictions statement, the background should be wordsmithed, and then sent to the Subcommittee for review. **Motion seconded**.
 - **Motion carried**

6. Path Forward for Subcommittee

- **Roberts** stated that Rich Bonczek has been out on unexpected leave and the Risk Based presentation will be during the March Board meeting.
- **Bradburne** stated that for future use DOE must make the following assumptions:
 - What conditions do you want the site to be cleaned up to?
 - What is the Historical legacy for cleanup?
 - What are the priorities and how would they be ranked?
- **Roberts** asked the members which of the three questions they would like to address first.
- **Renner** motioned to start with *what are the priorities and how would they be ranked*, **Motion seconded.**
 - **Motion carried**
- **Bandy** asked if the Subcommittee could get a copy of the Path Forward Questions.

7. Public Comment Period

- **Bobby Graff** handed out information from the Project Manager of the Fernald Preserve and stated that he hopes the Subcommittee wants to see more work come to the Portsmouth Site. It is the Board and communities decision not to have a disposal site here. Entombment in place does not meet the wishes of the communities or best serve the needs of the site.
- **Steve Carter** stated that there are a lot more resources out now to guiding group technical aspects and suggested that the Board contact the Director at the Technical Division of Ohio Department of Development.
- **Vina Colley** thanked Joel Bradburne for suggesting that the members read the Marvin Resnikoff and Norm Buske documents that are in the Educational Informational Center (EIC). Also would like to ask if DOE would find grant money to hire Marvin Resnikoff and Norm Buske.

8. Action Items

1. DOE will email EHI staff the Path Forward questions.
2. Invite State Representatives to attend a meeting during an Energy Parks presentation.
3. EHI will gather information on the Subcommittee's priorities.
4. DOE will clarify the sentence about restriction in the Background of the End Use Study Recommendation.
5. DOE will provide a copy of an Energy Parks Initiative presentation to EHI to be made available to the SSAB.
6. EHI staff will email Larry Parker's Energy Park Initiative's Report from Oak Ridge.
7. EHI staff will wordsmith the 10-01 Recommendation and email the draft to the Subcommittee.
8. EHI staff will draft a background for the 10-02 Recommendation and email the draft to the Subcommittee.

Lilly motioned to adjourn meeting, **Motion seconded.**

- **Motion carried**

Meeting adjourned

Next Meeting Tuesday, February 9, 2010, at 6:30 p.m.