



PORTSMOUTH EM SITE SPECIFIC ADVISORY BOARD

Minutes of the October 6, 2011, SSAB Meeting • 6:00 p.m.

Location: The Ohio State University Endeavor Center, Room 160, Piketon, Ohio

Site Specific Advisory Board (SSAB) Members Present: Richard Snyder, Shirley Bandy, Gene Brushart, Martha Cosby, Ervin Craft, Franklin Halstead, William Henderson, Brian Huber, Sharon Manson, Michael Payton, Cristy Renner, and Terri Ann Smith

SSAB Members Absent: Lindy Coleman, Val Francis, Michael Lilly, Daniel Minter, Dan Moore, and Roger Scaggs

Board Liaisons and Related Regulatory Agency Employees: Maria Galanti, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA); Mike Rubadue, Ohio Dept of Health

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and Contractors: Joel Bradburne, Vince Adams, and Bill Murphie, DOE; Julie Galloway, and Cindy Lewis, EHI; Rick Greene, Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI); Jerry Schneider, Marc Jewett, Karen Price, Dennis Carr, JD Chiou, Jennifer Chandler, and Deneen Revel, Fluor B&W

Facilitator: Eric Roberts, EHI

Public: Stephanie Howe, Ohio University; Geoffrey Sea, SONG; Matt Lucas, News Watchman; Bertha Zimmerman, Kathy Zimmerman, Jane Murray

Approved by Richard Snyder, Board Chair

Richard Snyder

Call to Order:

Snyder called the meeting to order.

Roberts welcomed everyone and stated he would be facilitating the meeting. There will be a public comment period after the administrative issues. The Board should stay within its defined Scope and follow the Meeting Ground Rules adopted.

September Minutes:

Roberts called for any modifications or proposed changes to the October Minutes.

- *Halstead* motioned to approve the October Minutes as presented, ***Motion seconded.***
 - ***Motion carried, Minutes approved***

DDFO Comments:

Bradburne gave a presented on the following information:

- Plant Updates
 - Process Building Deactivation Activities
 - Environmental Remediation Activities
 - Waste Disposition
 - Investment Recovery
 - USEC Facility De-Lease Update
 - Depleted Uranium Hexafluoride (DUF6) Conversion Facility
- Regulatory Progress
 - Decision Documents
- Public Outreach
- Calendar

A copy of the above-stated presentation is available on the SSAB website.

<i>Question/Comment:</i>	<i>Answer:</i>
<i>Payton</i> stated SODI gave grant monies to the Portsmouth Port Authority from the DOE recycling money this is going help move power lines at the Bob Walton Industrial Park to allow a new business to come into the county creating 80 or more jobs. I just wanted to thank SODI publicly this will help the community.	

Federal Coordinator Comments: None

Liaison Comments:

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA):

Galanti stated the EPA is waiting on comments from the 701B RFP.

Ohio Department of Health: None.

Presentation: *Price* gave a presented on the following information:

- Proposed “Information Portfolio for the SSAB
- Four Major Decisions will set the course for Cleanup
 - D&D of process buildings
 - Where the waste will go
 - Final cleanup levels for water and soil
 - Land Use after cleanup
- Recap Where We Are With You Today
- Tonight’s Topic for Discussion
- Current Schedule
- Proposed Portfolio Contents
- Four Month Look-Ahead

- What's Next

A copy of the above-stated presentation is available on the SSAB website.

Craft made a motion to support the Information Portfolio. **Motion seconded. Motion approved with eleven yes and one sustained.**

Presentation: **Schneider** gave a presented on the following information:

- Four Categories of Commitment
- Enhancing Public Understanding of Site
- Fluor- B&W Employee Community Involvement
- Regional Purchasing on Track
- Economic Development Work on Track
- Regional Planning Process Progressing
- Economic Development Consultant Activity
- Planned ED Consultant Work
- Community Commitment Plan Report

A copy of the above-stated presentation can be viewed on the SSAB website.

<i>Question/Comment:</i>	<i>Answer:</i>
<i>Smith</i> stated concerns about the Fluor budget.	<i>Schneider</i> requested Smith to send something in writing to Fluor about her concerns. DOE provides Fluor with a contactor fee and we have committed to 5% of that to go into the community. Our contract information is on the DOE website.

Administrative Issues:

Community Engagement Subcommittee:

Bandy stated the Subcommittee voted her as Chair and Gene Brushart as Vice Chair. The Subcommittee made updates to the public display. Will Henderson spoke at the Rotary Luncheon on behalf of the Subcommittee it was very well presented.

Environmental Cleanup and Land Preparation Subcommittee:

Halstead stated the Subcommittee voted Cristy Renner as Chair and himself as Vice Chair. There was a discussion of the cleanup levels additionally Recommendation 11.05 were discussed.

Site Legacy Subcommittee:

Manson stated the Subcommittee voted her as Chair and Brian Huber as Vice Chair. There was a discussion on Oral History along with requesting historical pictures from the site.

Waste Disposition & Recycling:

Henderson stated the Subcommittee voted me as Chair and Dan Minter as Vice Chair. There was a discussion on Recommendation 11.06. A working session was being planned to help gather information to go forward with this Recommendation.

Recommendation: 11-06 Siting for a Potential OSDC

<i>Halstead</i> stated a motion was made to use Site D and Site C as a backup. Fluor would have to tear down many of the trees to test on Site D. Inside perimeter road; the geography is not conducive of a cell.	<i>Carr</i> stated the plan is to make sure we only disturb trees to test three spots. <i>Jewett</i> stated the plan is to keep two sites so we can find out where the best spot is.
<i>Snyder</i> asked would Fluor be doing testing on both sites at the same time?	<i>Carr</i> stated yes we are doing pre-sampling at this time, the next phase moves into the design.
<i>Henderson</i> stated all DOE is asking us right now is for approval to continue research on two sites.	<i>Galanti</i> stated EPA does not have the geology yet and therefore cannot answer specifically. If she had

Maria what are your thoughts on these two sites?	to personally choose, She would recommend Site D or Site C. She personally would not want both sites to be deforested because the decisions we make today affect the future use.
Huber stated taking a green space and turning in to a brown space is not a good decision. Another site inside perimeter road would be a better choice since it is already contaminated. Saving the trees from being cut down on one-site makes me feel; better so one site is preferred.	Carr stated inside perimeter road does not have the best geology because there is groundwater within the perimeter road. Outside perimeter road has bedrock that makes this area a better choice.
Smith stated she agreed with Huber why would you not put it in a space that is already a brown area. Can the platform be concrete?	Chiou stated concrete will only last for fifty years.

Manson made a motion to accept the Recommendation with the amended changes. **Motion Seconded.**

Public Comment on Recommendation 11.06 motion:

Question/Comment:	Answer:
Sea stated you are not ready to make this recommendation. I believe you people are rushing into a decision.	
Manuta stated moving waste by rail through cities could be a problem.	
Zimmerman stated my home is being built close to Site C. My family has lived on that farm for many years and we plan to stay. I just wanted you to know that it is not just a house it is my home.	
Murray stated she is a consulting party and has not been consulted on the cell. The NHPA should be considered.	

Roberts stated after public comment on the motion the Board needs to vote on approval of Recommendation 11.06 R1 - **Motion carried** with 10 approve, 2 sustained

Second Reading, Proposed Amendment to the Operating Procedures: Section VI. Board Structure C 3a

This amendment would change the required Board notification period from fourteen days to seven days as proposed by the Executive Subcommittee.

Manson made a motion to accept the changes to the Operating Procedures. **Motion seconded. Motion carried. Motion carried** with consensus.

Public Comment:

Sea stated you need to call on DOE to comply with the NHPA. DOE has delayed by years the research on artifacts. The consulting parties have gone nine months without any meetings. The APC has problems because DOE did not compile with NHPA.

Final Comments from the Board: None

Snyder adjourn the meeting

Next Meeting Thursday, November 3, 2011, at 6:00 p.m.