



Executive Subcommittee

Meeting Summary

January 26, 2012 • Noon

The Ohio State University Endeavor Center
1862 Shyville Road, Piketon, OH 45661

Subcommittee Members Present: Chair Dick Snyder, Vice Chair Val Francis, Shirley Bandy, Will Henderson, Sharon Manson

Subcommittee Members Absent: Cristy Renner

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and contractors: Joel Bradburne, Greg Simonton, DOE; Rick Greene, Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI)

Support Staff: Julie Galloway, Cindy Lewis, Eric Roberts, EHI Consultants (EHI)

Snyder called the meeting to order

1. Discussion on Membership

| <i>Question/Comment:</i> | <i>Answer:</i> |
|--|---|
| <p><i>Roberts:</i> Membership packets are being prepared for DOE Headquarters. We have five new members who will be ready to come on board June 2012, at the same time the rest of the board members will be reappointed. Out of the first 20 original members, 10 will need reappointed, we will reappoint five of the members for one-year terms and the other five for two-year terms, so they do not have to be reappointed all at the same time. The one-year renewals will then be reappointed for two more years. In the next two years, several major decisions will need to be made.</p> | |
| <p><i>Simonton:</i> It is important to know that in June, we will have a full board of 20.</p> | <p><i>Roberts:</i> Yes, by the end of June we will have a full board again, if not before.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Snyder: What are the demographics of the five new members?</p> | <p>Simonton: There are four from Pike County, two from Scioto County. There is a long process to go thru before someone can become a SSAB Board member.</p> |
| <p>Henderson: Are there any plans for training for the new members?</p> <p>I was thinking half a day on Friday and a full day on Saturday. We could assign a current member to each new member. When you send the new members a welcome e-mail, put links to the two informational videos. We need to be careful not to push our bias onto new members, rather we should allow them the opportunity to form their own opinions.</p> <p>Bradburne: I thought we talked before about putting together a new member packet. Did we ever get that done? I think the current members should look at it, and then add anything they feel would be helpful.</p> <p>Greene: A half-day Friday and full day training on Saturday would at least get the new members started.</p> <p>Manson: I think we should add tabs to the booklet, so it can help them find what they are looking for faster.</p> | <p>Simonton: One-day training would not get the new members up to where they need to be to make good choices.</p> <p>Roberts: Training to get everyone up to speed takes time. We are open to any ideas from you.</p> <p>We might want to do that, and then have another training a few months later.</p> <p>Francis: I like that idea, along with putting something together with the main issues we will be making recommendations on in the near future.</p> |

2. Upcoming Recommendations

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Simonton: The upcoming recommendations will be on waste disposition and Process D&D.</p> | |
| <p>Henderson: We need to know what exist in the closed landfills on the site. Will they have core samples?</p> <p>In the event the decision is made to consolidate existing landfills; there could be waste that may not be within the guidelines and may need to be shipped off-site.</p> | <p>Bradburne: General information is available on what exist in the landfills. They will not do core samples because you do not want to put holes in a closed landfill unless a decision has been made to dig it up.</p> <p>Simonton: It may make sense not to move all of the closed landfills.</p> <p>Bradburne: Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) says they are safe where they are right now. Consolidating a closed landfill is hard to justify unless you need soil for an on-site cell and are going to use soil from the closed landfills, then you could justify a consolidation. There might be a good cost justification to consolidate because your great grandkids could still be doing pump and treat, and consolidating the landfills would be less to maintain.</p> |
| <p>Francis: The idea of recycling breaks the barriers maybe that could be used. New Steel said they would come in a build a plant here.</p> <p>What matters is how it is going to affect us here. What kind of economical impact an on-site cell would make on the community?</p> <p>End state use, we need to know and understand. Can they co-exist with the choices we make? We have to know how to play the game.</p> | <p>Bradburne: If you do not want to put all the waste in an on-site cell then you can use that to drive recycling.</p> <p>The end state with each choice will be different. It will affect what kind of businesses would come here. The site has 4,000 acres.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Snyder: I told the Senator (Brown) that we already made a recommendation on recycling and that is smelting. New Steel said no problem we can get in there and do that, but I told them our contracts estimate was \$9 million to build.</p> <p>Who makes the final decision on an on-site/off-site cell?</p> | <p>Bradburne: DOE and Ohio EPA will issue a Record of Decision on waste disposition with input from the SSAB, the community, politicians and other stakeholders I know with the right information the SSAB can make an informed recommendation.</p> |
| <p>Bandy: I think we have been very patient waiting on information. We have to have the cost before we can make a recommendation.</p> <p>They know what is out there. Why can't they supply us with the cost?</p> | <p>Bradburne: Fluor-B&W has two different groups of staff working on it and they are coming up with different amounts. They are close to putting it all together.</p> |

3. Public Meeting January 31

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Simonton: Do you have your materials ready for the public meeting?</p> | <p>Galloway: Yes, we are using the Southern Ohio Diversification Initiative's (SODI) display frame like at the last public meeting, but we are having a new banner made for it.</p> |
| <p>Snyder: I recommend that we promote the SSAB. We need to have applications and information for the public to pick up.</p> | |
| <p>Bandy: I know more and more groups are promoting the SSAB and asking someone to speak to their groups. However, I have been asked if there will be any public meetings in any of the surrounding counties?</p> | <p>Bradburne: I do not think there is any plan to have them anywhere else.</p> <p>Greene: Fluor discussed rotating the meetings, but there was concern about the distance some would have to drive. It was decided Pike county is more central.</p> |
| <p>Francis: Who is going to facilitate the public meeting?</p> | <p>Simonton: Roberts will be the MC at the public meeting on the 31st. Bradburne will be speaking and welcoming everyone for about 15 minutes then</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| | everyone will go to the display area and ask questions there. |
| Henderson: Is there any way that we could include some SSAB members on the tour you are planning with the neighbors. It may help build some relationships. | <p>Francis: The big concern of the neighbors was about the value of their real estate. Dennis Carr stated to the neighbors the land value was only hurt while the cell was operating. As soon as it was closed the values went right back up. The man that was there with Brian Huber said the values would increase.</p> <p>Bradburne: I think the values were higher than they were before an on-site cell at other sites.</p> |

4. National Chairs April Meeting in Paducah – Top 3 Issues, Accomplishments and Activity

| | |
|---|---|
| Snyder: Paducah will be hosting the national chairs meeting April 17 – 19. We need to talk about our three issues to report on at the meeting. Anybody have any ideas? | Simonton: Recycling, budgets, new steel, energy. That all speaks of the big issue, future use. |
| Is the National Guard still present at the plant? | Bradburne: They do have a little presence still at the site. |
| Francis: Senator Brown stated his relationship and opinion about United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) has gone up in the last two years. | Simonton: I think the future use of the site could be one of our issues for the chairs meeting. We can discuss it more at the next executive subcommittee meeting. |

5. Miscellaneous

| | |
|---|--|
| Francis: Gene Brushart called me with an idea. He thinks the SSAB should send out a questionnaire to the fenceline neighbors only and see what they think the end use might be for the plant and if they would support it. | |
| The Ohio Valley Regional Development Commission (OVRDC) executive director said he said he really does not know much of what is going on at the plant. | Simonton: I attend the OVRDC board meetings and part of what their board wants is an update and what they could do to help. Maybe we could have them come speak at a board meeting. |

| | |
|---|--|
| Henderson: Has anyone heard anything regarding SODI's grant? | Galloway: Steve Shepherd, SODI's director, is going to give a presentation about the grant in February. They did lose some points on the grant, because they do not own the property. |
|---|--|

Meeting adjourned

Action Items:

1. EHI will prepare materials for the public meeting.
2. EHI will look at the new membership packets.

Next Meeting Dates:

January 31, 2012 – Fluor-B&W's Public Meeting

February 2, 2012 - Full Board Meeting 6:00 p.m.