



Portsmouth EM Site Specific
Advisory Board

Chair

Richard H. Snyder

Vice-Chair

Larry A. Parker

Subcommittee Members

Lindy A. Coleman

Martha A. Cosby

Ervin S. Craft

Val E. Francis

Brian F. Huber

Sharon E. Manson

R. Daniel Moore

Cristy D. Renner

Roger G. Scaggs

DOE Deputy Designated

Federal Officer

Joel Bradburne

DOE Federal Coordinator

Greg Simonton

**Future D&D and Recycling
Subcommittee**

July 12, 2011 @ 6:30 p.m.

Agenda

- Presentation-Recycling Options-Jeremy Harley, RSI
- Discussion
- Presentation-Regulatory Breakdown-Dennis Carr
- Discussion
- Path forward
- Final Comments from the Board

Adjourn

We will only have discussion on Recycling Options; therefore, we will not be having public comments at this time.



Support Services

EHI Consultants, Inc.

1862 Shyville Road

Suite 115

Piketon, OH 45661

Phone 740-289-5249

Fax 740-289-1578

www.ports-ssab.org

info@ports-ssab.org



Future D&D and Recycling

Meeting Summary

July 12, 2011 • 6:30 p.m.

The Ohio State University Endeavor Center
1862 Shyville Road, Piketon, OH 45661
Room # 165

Subcommittee Members Present: Martha Cosby, Val Francis and Sharon Manson

Board Members Present: Gene Brushhart, Frank Halstead, Will Henderson and Dick Snyder

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Representatives: Joel Bradburne and Greg Simonton

DOE Contractors: Rick Greene and Jeremy Harley, Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI); Jennifer Chandler, Pete Mingus, Dennis Carr, Marc Jewett and Jerry Schneider, (FBP)

Liaisons: Maria Galanti and Melody Stewart, (OEPA); Michael Rubadue, (ODH)

Support Staff: Eric Roberts, Julie Galloway and Cindy Lewis, (EHI)

Public: Ani Ruhil and Kyle Gumfo, Ohio University; Dan Gelb, Vetco LLC; Roger Seite, SRNL; Sonny Goldston

Snyder opened the Future D&D and Recycling meeting. First, we will have a presentation from Jeremy Harley

Presentation: Recycling at PORTS-*Jeremy Harley RSI*

- Introduction
- Recycling Streams
- Rules of Recycling
- Recycling Flow Paths
- Progress to Date
- Emerging Opportunities
- What's Next
- Q&A

A copy of the above-stated presentation can be viewed on the SSAB website.

Snyder asks the subcommittee if there are any questions for Jeremy.

Question/Comment:	Answer:
Francis asked if SODI has received all the money. Is the recycling part of your scope	Carr stated yes SODI has received all the money from the recycling material but they

<p>or is this because of a recommendation from an entity? We do not have the resources like Oak Ridge.</p>	<p>are required to give half of it back. Expectations are the same in general. All expected magnitude. If we chose to have a CERCLA cell there will be boundaries. Many boundaries are artificially set. FLUOR is responsible for the 835 it is the law. If we release something with DOE supervisor, the penalties are big, so we make sure to be very careful.</p> <p>Bradburne stated that the recycling goes much deeper than RAD.</p>
--	---

Presentation: Regulatory Breakdown-Dennis Carr FLUOR

- Path Forward 2024
- Existing Capped or Closed Landfills and Capped Soil Contamination Areas
- Waste and Contaminated Soil Volumes Rough Estimates
- Decision to Set Course for Clean-up
- Future Use Decision-What and When

A copy of the above-stated presentation can be viewed on the SSAB website.

Question/Comment:	Answer:
<p>Carr stated we are looking for a recommendation in the range of future use and will do scenarios for each of these that the subcommittee produces. Figure out what is going to cost to clean-up to those standards.</p>	
<p>Henderson asked is there any way that the part of the site can be clean-up to a residential and partly industrial.</p>	<p>Carr stated we would not recommend that. It is very hard to clean-up a site and move soil with two different clean-up standards.</p>
<p>Snyder stated the Subcommittee has a recommendation in process.</p>	
<p>Roberts asked would there be data on the cost of the different standards of clean-up?</p>	<p>Jewett stated give us a target.</p>
<p>Francis asked if DOE states they are going to give SODI the 1300 acres would this affect anything.</p> <p>If the site is cleaned up to industrial standards, could you play touch football on the it?</p>	<p>Galanti stated DOE will have to provide water and a soil sample before the land is released. FLUOR's job is not to release the land.</p> <p>Carr stated yes you could get on the ground and rub your brother's face in the dirt. We would like to get a focus on one location for the cell. Where you want it and if you want it. We are working with SODI and the SSAB to</p>

<p>Will FLUOR be providing a cost on no on-site?</p> <p>What about the other landfills can they be moved into the cell?</p>	<p>see if the public is interested in a cell. Carr stated that yes by the March timeline we could have something to you.</p> <p>Galanti stated 749, 749A, 231A, 231B would be the ones chosen. The other ones should not be touched.</p>
<p>Halstead asked if you could bring a sample of the liner that would go into the cell to our planning session next month. We would have to sell the idea of having a cell on site to the public as well as the county commissioners.</p>	<p>Carr stated yes we could do that.</p>
<p>Could some of the other landfills be used for the soil filling?</p>	<p>It really comes down to what is the landfill.</p>
<p>Would the material out of the 326 building be shipped to Nevada?</p>	<p>The material is not good for on-site storage.</p>

Path forward:

- **Snyder** stated our path forward would be re-drafting the recommendation 11-05.
- **Francis** stated the subcommittee should have suggestions from the OEPA since the water has to be cleaned up to drinking levels.
- **Jewett** stated the clean-up standards:

Farmer/Residential	Industrial	Developed Land	Undeveloped Land	Trespassing
--------------------	------------	----------------	------------------	-------------

Final Comments from the Subcommittee Members:

Question/Comment:	Answer:
<p>Snyder stated the technical people need to be invited to the executive planning session.</p>	
<p>Simonton asked FLUOR if a GIS of the siting location could be shown to the Board at the executive planning session.</p>	<p>Carr stated yes we could be at the August session and provide that information.</p>

Action Items:

- EHI will send the recommendation 11-05 via email to the subcommittee members
- Subcommittee members will re-draft the recommendation 11-05
- DOE will work on the recusal plan

Manson motioned to adjourn the meeting, **Motion seconded.**

- **Motion carried, Meeting adjourned**